

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

dress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	remain mente anni	

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/688,002	/688,002 10/14/2000		Daniel R. Palmer	2000007 9193			
7590 06/03/20		06/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
Kathleen K Bowen				VANORE,	DAVID A		
311 Hillbrook Dr Cuyahoga Falls, OH		44223		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
,				2881			
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/688,00	2	PALMER ET AL.	&				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		David A Va		2881					
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on \underline{o}	05 April 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action	is FINAL. 2b)	This action is n	on-final.						
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clair	ns								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> . 4a) Of the a 5)□ Claim(s) <u>1</u> . 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> . 7)□ Claim(s) <u>1</u> .	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Application Papers					•				
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10)□ The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.					
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	nt drawing sheet(s) including the co r declaration is objected to by the								
Priority under 35 U.	.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)			• 🗖						
 Notice of Referenc Notice of Draftsper 	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
• ===	sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		D-152)				

Response to Argum nts

Applicant's arguments filed April 5, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 8 that the Clark reference fails to teach the limitations of claim 1 further comprising a groove on the machine.

Clark has two grooves (47 and 29), which align the wire.

Applicant argues with respect to claim 3 that the examiner has failed to state where Clark shows a slide pin mounted to the machine wherein the slide block is slidably mounted to the machine on the slide pin. As pointed out in the previous Office action, Item 22 is the moveable member, sliding parallel to the wire in grooves 25a on slide pins 28 which couple the sliding member to the machine via fixed member 21. Clark teaches all the required limitations as recited in claim 3.

Applicant has argued that the examiner has failed to point out where Clark shows a slide pin mounted to a holder. The examiner has clarified the rejection below. Fig. 4 of Clark clearly shows that the slide pin (28) is mounted to the holder (21).

Applicant has argued with respect to claim 7 that the newly added limitation distinguishes claim 7 from the prior art of Clark. Turning to Fig. 3 of the applicant's drawings, leg one and leg two in the applicant's device form a right angle and therefore do not form an acute angle. Clark anticipates claim 7.

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Clark.

Regarding claims 1-3 and 8, Clark teaches a wire tensioning device comprising a wire (11) having two ends with at least one end being mounted in a moveable fashion and at least one end mounted in a fixed fashion (Paragraph 9), a slide block (22) which slides parallel to the wire in grooves 25a on slide pin (28), and compression springs (23) mounted between the machine and slide block within grooves 25a such that the spring exerts force in the opposite direction of tension the wire exerts on the block (Col. 6) such that desired tension is kept on the wire. Wire ends are inserted into slots in the at least one slide block having a slow wider than the means for attachment, such as the knotting or crimping disclosed in Col. 6. Clark further teaches a groove on the machine (29 or 47).

Regarding claims 4-6, the device of Clark comprises a holder (21) which contains grooves (25a) and springs (23). The holder is fixed to the device, and the springs are between the holder and the slide pins (28), the slide pins being mounted to holder 21 in groove 25a such that slide block 22 may slide in grooves 25a.

Application/Control Number: 09/688,002

Art Unit: 2881

Regarding claim 7, the slide block of Clark is v-shaped, has two legs, the leg containing the wire angling away from the wire (Fig. 5).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Vanore whose telephone number is (571) 272-2483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/688,002 Page 5

Art Unit: 2881

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dav

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800